



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,846 | 07/20/2006 | Ingrid Verbauwhede | UCLARF.004NP | 3453 |

20995 7590 03/16/2011
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

TABLER, MATTHEW C

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2819

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

03/16/2011

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
efiling@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Response to Rule 312 Communication</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/586,846 | VERBAUWHEDE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | MATTHEW C. TABLER | 2819 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 25 February 2011 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☒ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The claims in the application have been reviewed individually and remain in condition for allowance because each of the claims recites a combination of features that are not taught or suggested by the prior art.

/Rexford N BARNIE/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2819

/M. C. T./
Examiner, Art Unit 2819